

یادداشت‌های کتاب کلمات جادویی

مقدمه

1. Matthias R. Mehl et al., "Are Women Really More Talkative than Men?," *Science* 317, no. 5834 (2007): 82, doi.org/10.1126/science.1139940.
2. Ellen J. Langer, Arthur Blank, and Benzion Chanowitz, "The Mindlessness of Ostensibly Thoughtful Action: The Role of 'Placebic' Information in Interpersonal Interaction," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 36, no. 6 (1978): 635.

فصل یک: کلماتی که هویت و عاملیت را فعال می‌کنند

1. Christopher J. Bryan, Allison Master, and Gregory M. Walton, "'Helping' Versus 'Being a Helper': Invoking the Self to Increase Helping in Young Children," *Child Development* 85, no. 5 (2014): 1836–42, <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12244>.
2. Susan A. Gelman and Gail D. Heyman, "Carrot-Eaters and Creature-Believers: The Effects of Lexicalization on Children's Inferences About Social Categories," *Psychological Science* 10, no. 6 (1999): 489–93, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9280.00194>.
3. Gregory M. Walton and Mahzarin R. Banaji, "Being What You Say: The Effect of Essentialist Linguistic Labels on Preferences," *Social Cognition* 22, no. 2 (2004): 193–213, <https://doi.org/10.1521/soco.22.2.193.35463>.

4. Christopher J. Bryan et al., "Motivating Voter Turnout by Invoking the Self," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108, no. 31 (2011): 12653–56, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1103343108>.
5. Christopher J. Bryan, Gabrielle S. Adams, and Benoit Monin, "When Cheating Would Make You a Cheater: Implicating the Self Prevents Unethical Behavior," *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General* 142, no. 4 (2013): 1001, <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030655>.
6. Vanessa M. Patrick, and Henrik Hagtvedt, "'I don't' Versus 'I can't': When Empowered Refusal Motivates Goal-Directed Behavior," *Journal of Consumer Research* 39, no. 2 (2012): 371–81, <https://doi.org/10.1086/663212>. Also see Vanessa Patrick's amazing book *The Power of Saying No: The New Science of How to Say No that Puts You in Charge of Your Life*. Sourcebooks.
7. Ting Zhang, Francesca Gino, and Joshua D. Margolis, "Does 'Could' Lead to Good? On the Road to Moral Insight," *Academy of Management Journal* 61, no. 3 (2018): 857–95, <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2014.0839>.
8. Ellen J. Langer and Alison I. Piper, "The Prevention of Mindlessness," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 53, no. 2 (1987): 280, <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.53.2.280>.
9. Ethan Kross has done some great work in this space; see his book *Chatter: The Voice in Our Head, Why it Matters, and How to Harness It* (New York: Crown, 2021).
10. Ethan Kross et al., "Third-Person Self-Talk Reduces Ebola Worry and Risk Perception by Enhancing Rational Thinking," *Applied Psychology: Health and Well-Being* 9, no. 3 (2017): 387–409, <https://doi.org/10.1111/aphw.12103>; Celina R. Furman, Ethan Kross, and Ashley N. Gearhardt, "Distanced Self-Talk Enhances Goal Pursuit to Eat Healthier," *Clinical Psychological Science* 8, no. 2 (2020): 366–73, <https://doi.org/10.1177/2167702619896366>.
11. Antonis Hatzigeorgiadis et al., "Self-Talk and Sports Performance: A Meta-analysis," *Perspectives on Psychological Science* 6, no. 4 (2011): 348–56, <https://doi.org/10.1177/17456916111413136>.

12. Ryan E. Cruz, James M. Leonhardt, and Todd Pezzuti, "Second Person Pronouns Enhance Consumer Involvement and Brand Attitude," *Journal of Interactive Marketing* 39 (2017): 104–16, <https://10.1016/j.intmar.2017.05.001>.
13. Grant Packard, Sarah G. Moore, and Brent McFerran, "(I'm) Happy to Help (You): The Impact of Personal Pronoun Use in Customer-Firm Interactions," *Journal of Marketing Research* 55, no. 5 (2018): 541–55, <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmr.16.0118>.

فصل دو: کلماتی که بیانگر اطمینان هستند

1. William M. O'Barr, *Linguistic Evidence: Language, Power, and Strategy in the Courtroom* (New York: Academic Press, 2014).
2. Bonnie E. Erickson et al., "Speech Style and Impression Formation in a Court Setting: The Effects of "'Powerful' and 'Powerless' Speech," *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* 14, no. 3 (1978): 266–79, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1031\(78\)90015-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1031(78)90015-X).
3. Some examples of this work include: Mark Adkins and Dale E. Brashers, "The Power of Language in Computer-Mediated Groups," *Management Communication Quarterly* 8, no. 3 (1995): 289–322, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0893318995008003002>; Lawrence A. Hosman, "The Evaluative Consequences of Hedges, Hesitations, and Intensifies: Powerful and Powerless Speech Styles," *Human Communication Research* 15, no. 3 (1989): 383–406, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2958.1989.tb00190.x>; Nancy Burell and Randal J. Koper, "The Efficacy of Powerful/Powerless Language on Attitudes and Source Credibility," in *Persuasion: Advances Through Meta-analysis*, edited by Michael Allen and Raymond W Preiss (Cresskill, NJ: Hamapton Press, 1988): 203–15; Charles S. Areni and John R. Sparks, "Language Power and Persuasion," *Psychology & Marketing* 22, no. 6 (2005): 507–25, <https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.20071>; John R. Sparks, Charles S. Areni, and K. Chris Cox, "An Investigation of the Effects of Language Style and Communication Modality on Persuasion," *Communications Monographs* 65, no. 2 (1998): 108–25, <https://doi.org/10.1080/03637759809376440>.
4. Paul C. Price and Eric R. Stone, "Intuitive Evaluation of Likelihood Judg-

- ment Producers: Evidence for a Confidence Heuristic,” *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making* 17, no. 1 (2004): 39–57, <https://doi.org/10.1002/bdm.460>.
5. Indeed, researchers whose grant proposals use less tentative language and more certain language get more funding from the National Science Foundation. See David M. Markowitz, “What Words Are Worth: National Science Foundation Grant Abstracts Indicate Award Funding,” *Journal of Language and Social Psychology* 38, no. 3 (2019): 264–82, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X18824859>.
 6. Lawrence A. Hosman, “The Evaluative Consequences of Hedges, Hesitations, and Intensifiers: Powerful and Powerless Speech Styles,” *Human Communication Research* 15, no. 3 (1989): 383–406; James J. Bradac and Anthony Mulac, “A Molecular View of Powerful and Powerless Speech Styles: Attributional Consequences of Specific Language Features and Communicator Intentions,” *Communications Monographs* 51, no. 4 (1984): 307–19, <https://doi.org/10.1080/03637758409390204>.
 7. Laurie L. Haleta, “Student Perceptions of Teachers’ Use of Language: The Effects of Powerful and Powerless Language on Impression Formation and Uncertainty,” *Communication Education* 45, no. 1 (1996): 16–28, <https://doi.org/10.1080/03634529609379029>.
 8. David Hagmann and George Loewenstein, “Persuasion with Motivated Beliefs,” in *Opinion Dynamics & Collective Decisions Workshop* (2017).
 9. Mohamed A. Hussein and Zakary L. Tormala, “Undermining Your Case to Enhance Your Impact: A Framework for Understanding the Effects of Acts of Receptiveness in Persuasion, Personality and Social Psychology Review 25, no. 3 (2021): 229–50 <https://doi.org/10.1177/10888683211001269>.
 10. Jakob D. Jensen, “Scientific Uncertainty in News Coverage of Cancer Research: Effects of Hedging on Scientists’ and Journalists’ Credibility,” *Human Communication Research* 34, no. 3 (2008): 347–69, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2958.2008.00324.x>

فصل سه: کلماتی که سؤالات درست را مطرح می‌کنند

1. Alison Wood Brooks, Francesca Gino, and Maurice E. Schweitzer, "Smart People Ask for (My) Advice: Seeking Advice Boosts Perceptions of Competence," *Management Science* 61, no. 6 (2015): 1421–35, <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2014.2054>.
2. Daniel A. McFarland, Dan Jurafsky, and Craig Rawlings, "Making the Connection: Social Bonding in Courtship Situations," *American Journal of Sociology* 118, no. 6 (2013): 1596–1649.
3. Karen Huang et al., "It Doesn't Hurt to Ask: Question-Asking Increases Liking," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 113, no. 3 (2017): 430, <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspi0000097>.
4. Klea D. Bertakis, Debra Roter, and Samuel M. Putnam, "The Relationship of Physician Medical Interview Style to Patient Satisfaction," *Journal of Family Practice* 32, no. 2 (1991): 175–81.
5. Bradford T. Bitterly and Maurice E. Schweitzer, "The Economic and Interpersonal Consequences of Deflecting Direct Questions," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 118, no. 5 (2020): 945, <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspi0000200>.
6. Julia A. Minson et al., "Eliciting the Truth, the Whole Truth, and Nothing but the Truth: The Effect of Question Phrasing on Deception," *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* 147 (2018): 76–93, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2018.05.006>.
7. Arthur Aron et al., "The Experimental Generation of Interpersonal Closeness: A Procedure and Some Preliminary Findings," *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 23, no. 4 (1997): 363–77.
8. Elizabeth Page-Gould, Rodolfo Mendoza-Denton, and Linda R. Tropp, "With a Little Help from My Cross-Group Friend: Reducing Anxiety in Intergroup Contexts Through Cross-Group Friendship," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 95, no. 5 (2008): 1080, <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.95.5.1080>.

فصل چهار: کلماتی که از عینیت بهره می‌برند

1. Grant Packard and Jonah Berger, "How Concrete Language Shapes Customer Satisfaction," *Journal of Consumer Research* 47, no. 5 (2021): 787–806, <https://10.1093/jcr/ucaa038>.
2. Nooshin L. Warren et al., "Marketing Ideas: How to Write Research Articles That Readers Understand and Cite," *Journal of Marketing* 85, no. 5 (2021): 42–57, <https://doi.org/10.1177/00222429211003560>.
3. Ian Begg, "Recall of Meaningful Phrases," *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior* 11, no. 4 (1972): 431–39, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5371\(72\)80024-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5371(72)80024-0).
4. Jonah Berger, Wendy Moe, and David Schweidel, "Linguistic Drivers of Content Consumption," working paper, 2022; Yoon Koh et al., "Successful Restaurant Crowdfunding: The Role of Linguistic Style," *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* 32, no. 10 (2020): 3051–66, <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-02-2020-0159>.
5. Colin Camerer, George Loewenstein, and Martin Weber, "The Curse of Knowledge in Economic Settings: An Experimental Analysis," *Journal of Political Economy* 97, no. 5 (1989): 1232–54. See also Chip Heath and Dan Heath, *Made to Stick: Why Some Ideas Survive and Others Die* (New York: Random House, 2007).
6. Laura Huang et al., "Sizing Up Entrepreneurial Potential: Gender Differences in Communication and Investor Perceptions of Long-Term Growth and Scalability," *Academy of Management Journal* 64, no. 3 (2021): 716–40, <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2018.1417>.
7. Cheryl J. Wakslak, Pamela K. Smith, and Albert Han, "Using Abstract Language Signals Power," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 107, no. 1 (2014): 41, <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0036626>

فصل پنج: کلماتی که احساسات را نشان می‌دهند

1. Elliot Aronson et al., "The Effect of a Pratfall on Increasing Interpersonal Attractiveness," *Psychonomic Science* 4, no. 6 (1966): 227–28, <https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03342263>.

2. See also Andrew J. Reagan et al., “The Emotional Arcs of Stories Dominated by Six Basic Shapes,” *EPJ Data Science* 5, no. 1 (2016): 1–12, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjds/s13688-016-0093-1>.
3. Peter Sheridan Dodds et al., “Temporal Patterns of Happiness and Information in a Global Social Network: Hedonometrics and Twitter,” *PLOS ONE*, December 7, 2011, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0026752>.
4. Erik Lindqvist, Robert Ostling, and David Cesarini, “Long-Run Effects of Lottery Wealth on Psychological Well-Being,” *Review of Economic Studies* 87, no. 6 (2020): 2703–26, <https://doi.org/10.1093/restud/rdaa006>.
5. Shane Fredrick and George Loewenstein, in *Well-Being: The Foundations of Hedonic Psychology*, edited by D. Kahneman, E. Diener, and N. Schwarz (New York: Russell Sage, 1999), 302–29.
6. Leif D. Nelson, Tom Meyvis, and Jeff Galak, “Enhancing the Television-Viewing Experience Through Commercial Interruption,” *Journal of Consumer Research* 36, no. 2 (2009): 160–72, <https://doi.org/10.1086/597030>.
7. Bart De Langhe, Philip M. Fernbach, and Donald R. Lichtenstein, “Navigating by the Stars: Investigating the Actual and Perceived Validity of Online User Ratings,” *Journal of Consumer Research* 42, no. 6 (2016): 817–33, <https://doi.org/10.1093/jcr/ucv047>.
8. Matthew D. Rocklage, Derek D. Rucker, and Loran F. Nordgren, “Mass-Scale Emotionality Reveals Human Behaviour and Marketplace Success,” *Nature Human Behavior* 5 (2021): 1323–29, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-021-01098-5>.
9. For more examples of words that vary on these different dimensions, see *The Evaluative Lexicon* (<http://www.evaluativelexicon.com/>) and Matthew D. Rocklage, Derek D. Rucker, and Loren F. Nordgren, “The Evaluative Lexicon 2.0: The Measurement of Emotionality, Extremity, and Valence in Language,” *Behavior Research Methods* 50, no. 4 (2018): 1327–44, <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-017-0975-6>.
10. Rocklage et al., “Mass-Scale Emotionality Reveals Human Behaviour and Marketplace Success.”

11. Jonah Berger, Matthew D. Rocklage, and Grant Packard, "Expression Modalities: How Speaking Versus Writing Shapes Word of Mouth," *Journal of Consumer Research*, December 25, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1093/jcr/ucab076>.
12. Matthew D. Rocklage and Russell H. Fazio, "The Enhancing Versus Backfiring Effects of Positive Emotion in Consumer Reviews," *Journal of Marketing Research* 57, no. 2 (2020): 332–52, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022243719892594>.
13. Li, Yang, Grant Packard, and Jonah Berger, "When Employee Language Matters?" Working Paper.

فصل شش: کلماتی که از شباهت (وتفاوت) استفاده می‌کنند

1. Amir Goldberg et al., "Enculturation Trajectories and Individual Attainment: An Interactional Language Use Model of Cultural Dynamics in Organizations," in Wharton People Analytics Conference, Philadelphia, PA, 2016.
2. James W. Pennebaker et al., "When Small Words Foretell Academic Success: The Case of College Admissions Essays," *PLOS ONE*, December 31, 2014: e115844, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0115844>.
3. See, e.g., Molly E. Ireland et al., "Language Style Matching Predicts Relationship Initiation and Stability," *Psychological Science* 22, no. 1 (2011): 39–44, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797610392928>; Balazs Kovacs and Adam M. Kleinbaum, "Language-Style Similarity and Social Networks," *Psychological Science* 31, no. 2 (2020): 202–13, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797619894557>.
4. Jonah Berger and Grant Packard, "Are Atypical Things More Popular?," *Psychological Science* 29, no. 7 (2018): 1178–84, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797618759465>.
5. David M. Blei, Andrew Y. Ng, and Michael I. Jordan, "Latent Dirichlet Allocation," *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 3 (2003): 993–1022, <https://www.jmlr.org/papers/volume3/blei03a/blei03a.pdf>.
6. Ireland et al., "Language Style Matching Predicts Relationship Initiation

- and Stability”; Paul J. Taylor and Sally Thomas, “Linguistic Style Matching and Negotiation Outcome,” *Negotiation and Conflict Management Research* 1, no. 3 (2008): 263–81, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-4716.2008.00016.x>.
7. Kurt Gray et al., “‘Forward Flow’: A New Measure to Quantify Free Thought and Predict Creativity,” *American Psychologist* 74, no. 5 (2019): 539, <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000391>; Cristian Danescu-Niculescu-Mizil et al., “You Had Me at Hello: How Phrasing Affects Memorability,” *Proceedings of the ACL*, 2012.
8. Olivier Toubia, Jonah Berger, and Jehoshua Eliashberg, “How Quantifying the Shape of Stories Predicts Their Success,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 118, no. 26 (2021): e2011695118, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2011695118>.
9. Henrique L. Dos Santos and Jonah Berger, “The Speed of Stories: Semantic Progression and Narrative Success,” *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*. (2022) 151(8):1833-1842 - <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35786955/>

فصل هفت: آنچه زبان آشکار می‌کند

1. Ryan L. Boyd and James W. Pennebaker, “Did Shakespeare Write Double Falsehood? Identifying Individuals by Creating Psychological Signatures with Text Analysis,” *Psychological Science* 26, no. 5 (2015): 570–82, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797614566658>.
2. Language use differs by gender (Mehl & Pennebaker 2003; Welch, Perez-Rosas, Kummerfeld, & Mihalcea 2019), for example, age (Pennebaker & Stone 2002; Morgan-Lopez et al., 2017; Sap et al., 2014), race (Preotiuc-Pietro & Ungar, 2018), and political affiliation (Preotiuc-Pietro et al., 2017; Sterling, Jost, & Bonneau, 2020).
3. James W. Pennebaker et al., “When Small Words Foretell Academic Success: The Case of College Admissions Essays,” *PLOS ONE*, December 31, 2014, e115844, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0115844>; Matthew L. Newman et al., “Lying Words: Predicting Deception from Linguistic Styles,” *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 29, no. 5 (2003): 665–75, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167203251529>.

4. The use of language is also associated with a host of health outcomes (see Sinnenberg et al., 2017 for a review), including mental health (de Choudhury, Gamin, Counts, and Horvitz, 2013; Eichstaedt et al., 2018; Guntuku et al., 2017; see Chancellor and De Choudhury 2020 for a review), ADHD (Guntuku et al., 2019), and heart disease (Eichstaedt et al., 2015), often predicting these outcomes better than self-report or SES measures.
5. Sarah Seraj, Kate G. Blackburn, and James W. Pennebaker, "Language Left Behind on Social Media Exposes the Emotional and Cognitive Costs of a Romantic Breakup," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 118, no. 7 (2021): e2017154118, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2017154118>.
6. Oded Netzer, Alain Lemaire, and Michal Herzenstein, "When Words Sweat: Identifying Signals for Loan Default in the Text of Loan Applications," *Journal of Marketing Research* 56, no. 6 (2019): 960–80, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022243719852959>.
7. Reihane Boghrati, "Quantifying 50 Years of Misogyny in Music," Risk Management and Decision Processes Center, April 27, 2021, [https://riskcenter.wharton.upenn.edu/lab-notes/quantifying-50-years-of-misogyny-in-music/#:~:text=To percent20look percent20at percent20misogyny percent20in,is percent20portrayed percent20implicitly percent20in percent20lyrics](https://riskcenter.wharton.upenn.edu/lab-notes/quantifying-50-years-of-misogyny-in-music/#:~:text=To%20look%20at%20misogyny%20in,is%20portrayed%20implicitly%20in%20lyrics).
8. Jahna Otterbacher, Jo Bates, and Paul Clough, "Competent Men and Warm Women: Gender Stereotypes and Backlash in Image Search Results," CHI 17: Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, May 2017, 6620–31, <https://doi.org/10.1145/3025453.3025727>.
9. Janice McCabe et al., "Gender in Twentieth-Century Children's Books: Patterns of Disparity in Titles and Central Characters," *Gender & Society* 25, no. 2 (2011): 197–226, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0891243211398358>; Mykol C. Hamilton et al., "Gender Stereotyping and Under-representation of Female Characters in 200 Popular Children's Picture Books: A Twenty-First Century Update," *Sex Roles* 55, no. 11 (2006): 757–65, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-006-9128-6>.
10. Rae Lesser Blumberg, "The Invisible Obstacle to Educational Equality: Gender Bias in Textbooks," *Prospects* 38, no. 3 (2008): 345–61, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-008-9128-6>.

- doi.org/10.1007/s11125-009-9086-1; Betsey Stevenson and Hanna Zlotnik, "Representations of Men and Women in Introductory Economics Textbooks," *AEA Papers and Proceedings* 108 (May 2018): 180–85, <https://doi.org/10.1257/pandp.20181102>; Lesley Symons, "Only 11 Percent of Top Business School Case Studies Have a Female Protagonist," *Harvard Business Review*, March 9, 2016, <https://hbr.org/2016/03/only-11-of-top-business-school-case-studies-have-a-female-protagonist>
11. Nikhil Garg et al., "Word Embeddings Quantify 100 Years of Gender and Ethnic Stereotypes," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 115, no. 16 (2018): E3635–44, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1720347115>; Anil Ramakrishna et al., "Linguistic analysis of differences in portrayal of movie characters," *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics* 1 (2017): 1669–78, <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/P17-1153>; Liye Fu, Cristian Danescu-Niculescu-Mizil, and Lillian Lee, "Tie-Breaker: Using Language Models to Quantify Gender Bias in Sports Journalism," July 13, 2016, arXiv, <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1607.03895>.
 12. "Racial Divide in Attitudes Towards the Police," *The Opportunity Agenda*, <https://www.opportunityagenda.org/explore/resources-publications/new-sensibility/part-iv>.
 13. Perry Bacon, Jr. "How the Police See Issues of Race and Policing," *FiveThirtyEight*, <https://fivethirtyeight.com/features/how-the-police-see-issues-of-race-and-policing/>.
 14. Rob Voigt et al., "Language from Police Body Camera Footage Shows Racial Cisparities in Officer Respect," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 114, no. 25 (2017): 6521–26, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1702413114>

سخن پایانی

1. Claudia M. Mueller and Carol S. Dweck, "Praise for Intelligence Can Undermine Children's Motivation and Performance," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 75, no. 1 (1998): 33, <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.75.1.33>.

Magic Words

Jonah Berger

Translated by Peyman Shirvani

and Maryam Safari

Nashrenovin

© 2023 by Jonah Berger